



Supply Base Report: Postavsky Furniture Center PMUE 1

Re-assessment

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.4

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

Version 1.4 published 22 October 2020

Contents

- 1 Overview**
 - 2 Description of the Supply Base**
 - 2.1 General description
 - 2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base
 - 2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier
 - 2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base
 - 3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation**
 - 4 Supply Base Evaluation**
 - 4.1 Scope
 - 4.2 Justification
 - 4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme
 - 4.4 Conclusion
 - 5 Supply Base Evaluation process**
 - 6 Stakeholder consultation**
 - 6.1 Response to stakeholder comments
 - 7 Mitigation measures**
 - 7.1 Mitigation measures
 - 7.2 Monitoring and outcomes
 - 8 Detailed findings for indicators**
 - 9 Review of report**
 - 9.1 Peer review
 - 9.2 Public or additional reviews
 - 10 Approval of report**
- Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators**

1 Overview

Producer name: Postavsky Furniture Center PMUE 1

Producer address: 28 Ozernaya, 211871 Postavy, Belarus

SBP Certificate Code: SBP-01-21

Geographic position: 55.119600, 26.804900

Primary contact: Evgeniy Ginko, +375 (0) 2155 46114,pmc_konstr@tut.by

Company website: www.pmc.by

Date report finalised: 18 May 2021

Close of last CB audit: N/A

Name of CB: NEPCon OÜ

SBP Standard(s) used: SBP Standard 2: Verification of SBP-compliant Feedstock, SBP Standard 4: Chain of Custody, SBP Standard 5: Collection and Communication of Data Instruction, Instruction Document 5E: Collection and Communication of Energy and Carbon Data 1.4

Weblink to Standard(s) used: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: N/A

Weblink to SBR on Company website: www.pmc.by

| Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Main (Initial) Evaluation | First Surveillance | Second Surveillance | Third Surveillance | Fourth Surveillance | Re-assessment |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

Feedstock types: Tertiary

Includes Supply Base evaluation (SBE): No

Feedstock origin (countries): Belarus

2.2 Description of countries included in the Supply Base

Country: Belarus

Area/Region: All regions of Republic of Belarus

Exclusions: No

2.3 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

2.4 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply Base

- a. **Total Supply Base area (million ha):** 9,70
- b. **Tenure by type (million ha):**9.70 (Public)
- c. **Forest by type (million ha):**9.70 (Temperate)
- d. **Forest by management type (million ha):**9.70 (Managed natural)
- e. **Certified forest by scheme (million ha):**8.30 (FSC), 8.00 (PEFC)

Describe the harvesting type which best describes how your material is sourced: Clearcutting

Explanation: Harvesting of woods is carried out during the clearcuttings as well as during intermediate using – fellings for forest care, selective sanitary fellings and fellings for reconstruction. Also cuttings for updating and formation (rearrangement) of plantings, sanitary felling, cleaning of littered plots , clearing of the forest areas for construction of pipelines, roads, power lines and communication, other objects.

Was the forest in the Supply Base managed for a purpose other than for energy markets? Yes - Majority

Explanation: The logging sector includes procurement and hauling of timber, its cross-cutting, production of industrial wood and wood products, production of sawlog, veneer, matchbox and technological raw materials, resin extraction. Sector of pulp and paper industry is specialized in the production of cardboard and paper products. There are over 20 types of paper and cardboard. Sector of woodworking and furniture production is divided into two major branches, which are closely intertwined: on the one hand - it is the primary processing of wood raw material and production of elements for the furniture and construction industries (windows, doors, moldings, wood boards, etc.), on the other hand – production of furniture directly addressed to the end market.

For the forests in the Supply Base, is there an intention to retain, restock or encourage natural regeneration within 5 years of felling? Yes - Majority

Explanation: Reforestation and afforestation in the Republic of Belarus provides: -mandatory reforestation of felling sites, burnt areas and other categories of forest lands in the period not exceeding 2-3 years after -their formation; -correspondence of tree species to conditions of a place of growth; -rational use of lands of forest fund; -conservation of biodiversity and gene pool of the woods; -increase in the area of the oak, ashen and lime woods; -creation of the forest plantings which are mainly mixed on structure; -improving water-conservation, protective and recreational forest values as well as their productivity and sustainability; -saving (increase) of forest cover of the Republic of Belarus and its administrative-territorial units; -satisfaction of social and economic requirements of society for various forest production and utilities of the forest.

Was the feedstock used in the biomass removed from a forest as part of a pest/disease control measure or a salvage operation? No

Explanation: N/A

Feedstock

Reporting period from: 01 Jan 2020

Reporting period to: 31 Dec 2020

- a. **Total volume of Feedstock:** 1-200,000 tonnes
- b. **Volume of primary feedstock:** 0 N/A
- c. **List percentage of primary feedstock, by the following categories.**
 - 1- Certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
 - 2- Not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- d. **List of all the species in primary feedstock, including scientific name:** N/A
- e. **Is any of the feedstock used likely to have come from protected or threatened species?** N/A
 - 1- Name of species: N/A
 - 2- Biomass proportion, by weight, that is likely to be composed of that species (%): N/A
- f. **Hardwood (i.e. broadleaf trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- g. **Softwood (i.e. coniferous trees): specify proportion of biomass from (%):** N/A
- h. **Proportion of biomass composed of or derived from saw logs (%):** N/A
- i. **Specify the local regulations or industry standards that define saw logs:** N/A
- j. **Roundwood from final fellings from forests with > 40 yr rotation times - Average % volume of fellings delivered to BP (%):** N/A
- k. **Volume of primary feedstock from primary forest:** N/A N/A
- l. **List percentage of primary feedstock from primary forest, by the following categories. Subdivide by SBP-approved Forest Management Schemes:**

- 1- Primary feedstock from primary forest certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A
- 2- Primary feedstock from primary forest not certified to an SBP-approved Forest Management Scheme: N/A

m. Volume of secondary feedstock: 0 tonnes

- 1- Physical form of the feedstock: Sawdust

n. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 1-200,000 tonnes

- 1- Physical form of the feedstock: Offcuts, Sawdust (dry)

| Proportion of feedstock sourced per type of claim during the reporting period | | | | |
|---|---|--------|--------|-------|
| Feedstock type | Sourced by using Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) % | FSC % | PEFC % | SFI % |
| Primary | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Secondary | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Tertiary | 0,00 | 100,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| Other | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

Is Supply Base Evaluation (SBE) is completed? No

N/A

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

Feedstock types included in SBE: N/A

SBP-endorsed Regional Risk Assessments used: N/A

List of countries and regions included in the SBE:

Country: N/A

Indicator with specified risk in the risk assessment used:
N/A

Specific risk description:

4.2 Justification

N/A

4.3 Results of risk assessment and Supplier Verification Programme

N/A

4.4 Conclusion

N/A

5 Supply Base Evaluation process

N/A

6 Stakeholder consultation

N/A

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

N/A

7 Mitigation measures

7.1 Mitigation measures

N/A

7.2 Monitoring and outcomes

N/A

8 Detailed findings for indicators

Detailed findings for each Indicator are given in Annex 1 in case the Regional Risk Assessment (RRA) is not used.

Is RRA used? N/A

9 Review of report

9.1 Peer review

N/A

9.2 Public or additional reviews

N/A

10 Approval of report

| Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management | | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------|
| Report Prepared by: | Artemy Grebennikov | Technic-technologist | 18 May 2021 |
| | Name | Title | Date |
| | | | |
| The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report. | | | |
| Report approved by: | Evgeniy Ginko | Design-engineer | 18 May 2021 |
| | Name | Title | Date |

Annex 1: Detailed findings for Supply Base Evaluation indicators

N/A